Competencies of Chemistry Teachers and Prospective Teachers: A Literature Review
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Abstract: The role and function of teachers as professionals is to implement the national education system and realize national education goals, which is to develop the potential of students. Therefore, the competence and professional development of teachers must be in line with the way they carry out learning, especially in chemistry subjects. Where this subject is one of the subjects that most students are less interested in. The focus of this research is to collect information about the outcome of research that discusses the competence of chemistry teachers and prospective teachers, the research design uses a literature review with a qualitative descriptive approach. Reviewed 3 selected journals registered in Science and Technology Index (SINTA), and 17 SCOPUS indexed international journals. The collection of articles starts from 2016 to 2023. The most frequently used research type is qualitative with a case study research type, the most frequently used data collection instrument is observation with triangulation techniques. Chemistry teacher competencies needed at this time are PCK, CK, AK, PCK_CCC, TPASK, topic-specific PCK (TSPCK), argumentation, laboratory skill competence, technological knowledge, professional competence, and competence in organizing lesson study.
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Introduction

Over the past few years, there has been a change from the traditional teacher-dominated approach to science teaching to a more activity-focused and student-centered approach complemented by activities that combine science teaching-learning activities and practical learning. Teacher knowledge is also indisputable in the teaching process. Teachers must have an adequate understanding of the subject matter being taught. However, having a grasp of the subject matter alone does not guarantee a teacher's success; proficiency in the teaching methods of the subject in question is also a necessity (Kiran and Boz. 2020).

Teachers play a crucial professional role in putting the national education system into practice and achieving the goals of education, which include helping students reach their full potential and become competent, creative, and self-sufficient individuals (Widyatiningtyas, 2004; British Council Federation of Teachers & CUPE British Council, 2009). During class periods, educators design experiences for their pupils (Sexton, 2015).

According to Avalos (2011), a teacher can enhance their professional skills by participating in professional development activities, which are essential components in research. Proficiency in managing the course of instruction is one aspect of pedagogical competence that might influence a teacher's work style (Sisdiknas, 2006). According to Rusdiana & Heryati (2015) there are 4 competencies that must be possessed by a teacher, namely pedagogic, social, personality, and professional. The main competency that teachers must have so that learning is effective and dynamic is pedagogical competence. Teachers must learn maximally to master this pedagogical competence in theory and practice so that in teaching they do not experience difficulties (Siregar. 2012).

How to Cite:
Research conducted by Akin and Kondakci (2018) explains that as experience increases, teachers can improve PCK and its application in the classroom. Teaching experience may have a role in science teachers' teaching orientation. The more teaching experience they gain, the more different orientations they have.

In the education process at school, chemistry is one of the compulsory subjects that must be studied in high school. Chemistry is a subject that most students are less interested in. This is inseparable from the way books present material, the way teachers teach chemistry, public information received by students, and the purpose of students learning chemistry (Subagia, 2014). For this reason, teachers' competencies and professional development should match their approach to teaching, (Avalos, 2011), playing their roles and functions as knowledgeable and skilled teachers in teaching and learning.

This review aims to analyze various literature used about the competence of chemistry teachers and prospective teachers over the past 8 years. Research Focus chemistry teacher competence, research type, research instruments used, data analysis methods used, the number of research samples, and analyze various components of chemistry teacher competence. Researchers focused on all articles on the theme of chemistry teacher competence that have been published and accredited by the Science and Technology Index (SINTA) and SCOPUS indexed articles from 2016 to 2023.

Method

This research uses a qualitative descriptive approach, with a literature review, namely the acquisition of research data by utilizing library sources (Pringgar and Sujatmiko, 2020). Then the study is carried out, data collection, followed by the discovery of results and conclusions. The collection of journals that discuss the problems of chemistry teacher education and competence is carried out by reviewing 3 selected journals listed on the Science and Technology Index (SINTA), and 17 international journals listed on Google Scholar by SCOPUS. Starting from 2016 to 2023. The keywords the author used were "chemistry teacher competence", "Chemistry Teacher Knowledge".

Result and Discussion

Journal Name and Index

The order of the Ministry's Scopus and SINTA rankings is from highest to lowest with a time span of 2016 - 2022. Table 1 presents the number of Journal name, index, and Authors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Journals Name</th>
<th>Index</th>
<th>Authors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Chemistry Education Research and Practice</td>
<td>Q1</td>
<td>(Karatas, 2016; Mavhunga, 2016; Cigdemoglu et al, 2017; Gabby et al, 2017; Uzuntiryaki-Kondakci et al, 2017; Akin &amp; Uzuntiryaki-Kondakci, 2018; Wei &amp; Liu, 2018; Ekiz-Kiran &amp; Boz, 2020; Chen &amp; Chen, 2021; Tal et al, 2021; He et al, 2021; Boothe et al., 2023; Oztay et al., 2023)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Education Science</td>
<td>Q1</td>
<td>(Hernández-Ramos et al., 2023; Peretz et al., 2023)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Journal of Turkish Science Education</td>
<td>Q2</td>
<td>(Copriady, 2018)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>PER</td>
<td>Q3</td>
<td>(Arslan et al, 2022)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Jurnal Pendidikan Kimia Indonesia</td>
<td>SINTA 2</td>
<td>(Soliikhin &amp; Rohiat, 2023)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>QUANTUM: Jurnal Inovasi Pendidikan Sains</td>
<td>SINTA 3</td>
<td>(Pongkendek &amp; Marpaung, 2020)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Jurnal Inovasi Pendidikan Kimia</td>
<td>SINTA 3</td>
<td>(Mulyatun, 2017)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This research used 7 journal publications, including 4 international publications indexed by Scopus Q1 - Q3, and 3 local publications indexed by SINTA 2 & 3. International publications used 13 article of Royal Society of Chemistry (RSC) index Q1, 2 article of Education Science index Q1, 1 article of Turkish Science Education index Q2, 1 article of Participatory Educational Research (PER) index Q3. Local publications use 1 article Indonesian Chemical Education journal index SINTA 2, 1 article of QUANTUM: Journal of Science Education Innovation index SINTA 3, and 1 article of Jurnal Inovasi Pendidikan Kimia.

Research Focus

According to the analysis of 20 journals, the research focus of each journal was obtained, namely discussing
teaching & learning, argumentation, PCK_CCC (pedagogic content knowledge_chemistry core competencies), assessment knowledge (AK), professional competence, TPASK (technological pedagogical science knowledge), pedagogical content knowledge (PCK), chemistry laboratory, and pedagogic competence. Of the five research focuses, it was found that PCK was the most widely studied in chemistry teacher competence. Figure 1 shows the number of Research Focuses for chemistry teacher competencies.

**Figure 1.** Research Focus chemistry teacher competence

**Research Type**

The type of research is one of the things that can determine the focus of a study. Figure 2 shows information about the various types of research used to determine the competence of chemistry teachers. One aspect that can determine the focus of a study is the type of research used. In Figure 2, there are 12 journals that use qualitative research approaches, 5 journals that use mixed methods, and 3 journals that use quantitative approaches to explore issues surrounding chemistry teacher competencies. In the 20 journals investigated, qualitative research dominates and is frequently used to investigate the topic of chemistry teacher education and competence. Qualitative research is an approach used to explore and explore the meaning interpreted by certain individuals or groups as part of social issues.

**Figure 2.** Research Type

The abundant use of this type of qualitative research occurs because this method tends to cover important aspects of research, such as in-depth understanding of the phenomenon that is the focus of the research, as well as involving the researcher as the main instrument in data collection and analysis, as described by Creswell (2018).

**Research Instruments**

Research instruments are tools or methods used by researchers to collect data needed in a study. These instruments can vary, depending on the type of research, the purpose of the research, and the data to be collected. When conducting research requires instruments to assist in collecting data. Figure 3 shows information about the various research instruments used to examine the competence of chemistry teachers.

**Figure 3.** Research Instruments

Based on Figure 3 shows information on various research instruments used to examine the competence of chemistry teachers including observation, documentation interviews, tests, questionnaires, textbooks, lesson plans, field notes, CoRe (content representation), PaP-eRs (professional-experience repertoires), videos, lab reports. Observational instruments were most widely used in the 20 articles reviewed, with 13 articles using these instruments. Observation is an action where the researcher goes directly to the field to observe the behavior and activities of each individual at the research location by recording or recording each data component in a structured or semistructured manner (Creswell. 2018).

**Data Analysis Method**

Data analysis method is a systematic process for transforming, organizing, and interpreting data that has been collected in research. Determining the level of validity of a study can occur if the selection of data analysis techniques is used appropriately. Figure 4 shows the various data analysis techniques used by
researchers to examine the competence of chemistry teachers.

**Figure 4. Data Analysis Method**

Figure 4 shows various data analysis methods, including t-test, paired sample t-test, deductive, inductive, triangulation, inferential, descriptive statistics, anava, coding, factor analysis, item analysis, descriptive analysis, contextual analysis, regression, correlation, QCA (qualitative content analysis), in-depth analysis, enumerative approach, CCM (constant comparative method), CFA (confirmatory factor analysis), and AK rubric (assessment knowledge). Triangulation is the most widely used data analysis method by researchers.

Triangulation is defined as the use of multiple data collection results to match and validate the data in strengthening the results of the study. The advantage of using triangulation aims to deepen the data where other methods can be used if one of the methods in the study has weaknesses. (Satori & Komariah. 2011)

**Research Sample**

A research sample is a group of individuals or elements taken from a larger population that represents the group to be analyzed in a research study. Figure 5 shows the number of research samples used in the 20 journals reviewed. The research samples include 9 graduate students, 316 pre-service teachers, and 735 teachers.

**Chemistry Teacher Competence**

Based on a review of 20 journals found that chemistry teacher competencies needed at this time are PCK, CK, AK, PK_CCC, TPASK, topic-specific PCK (TSPCK), argumentation, laboratory skill competence, technological knowledge, professional competence, and competence in organizing lesson study. The pedagogical competencies of teachers analyzed by Pongkendek & Marpaung (2020) include learning planning, learning implementation, and learning evaluation.

Research conducted by Uzuntiryaki-Kondakci et al., (2017); Akin & Uzuntiryaki-Kondakci (2018); Ekiz-Kiran & Boz (2020); Chen & Chen (2021); Oztay et al., (2023) explain that PCK components include science teaching orientation (STO), knowledge of learners (KoL), knowledge of curriculum (KoC), knowledge of instructional strategies (KoIS), Knowledge of assessment (KoA). The PCK components used by Boothe et al. (2023) include knowledge of the science curriculum, knowledge of student understanding in science, knowledge of instructional strategies for teaching science, knowledge of assessment of science learning, and teacher efficacy.

According to Chen & Chen (2021), chemistry teachers’ PCK in practicum can come from nine different sources, namely learning experiences, pre-service training, in-service training, classroom observation, peer guidance, professional journals, online learning resources, teaching practices, and curriculum materials. Research conducted by Akin & Uzuntiryaki-Kondakci (2018) explains that the interaction between PCK components includes STO-KoIS interplay, STO-KoC, STO-KoL, STO-KoA, KoL-KoIS, KoL-KoC, KoL-KoA, KoC-KoIS, KoC-KoA, KoA-KoIS. Wei & Liu (2018) provided an explanation of the connection between PCK in instruction and practical labor. This link includes the approach to teaching science, the way that students learn from their practical work, and the instructional strategies used in that activity. Uzuntiryaki-Kondakci et al., (2017) explained that in the PCK component
measured, the teacher self-regulation phase includes: forethought phase, performance phase, self-reflection.

Peretz et al. (2023) describe a knowledge assessment rubric for tasks developed by teachers themselves: chemistry and sustainability, diversity of thinking skills, diversity of system aspects, diversity of visual representations. As for He et al (2021) explain the components in the assessment, namely CK assessment using rubrics (1) subject matter expertise, (b) the quantity of chemistry-related comprehension levels applied, and (c) any special features or visual aids incorporated. PCK assessment uses rubrics (1) number of levels of understanding of chemistry, (2) level of thinking, (3) context-based sustainable chemistry material. The PCK rubric includes: Variety of activities and thinking skills required, Level of adaptability and applicability for students, Relationship of proposed tasks to sustainable chemistry.

He et al. (2021) explained the instrument construct of PCK_CCC includes knowledge of the orientation of chemistry teaching and learning (KOTLC), knowledge of the chemistry curriculum (KCC), knowledge of chemistry teaching instructional strategies (KISTC), knowledge of chemistry teaching assessment (KACL), and knowledge of student understanding in chemistry (KSUC).

Gabby et al. (2017) explain that teacher competencies are enhanced to integrate technology into pedagogical practice in a meaningful way to promote skills in the present. Solikhin & Rohiat, 2023 explained that These seven aspects are TK (technological knowledge), PK (pedagogical knowledge), CK (content knowledge), TPK (technological pedagogical knowledge), PCK (pedagogical content knowledge), TCK (technological content knowledge), and TPACK (technological, pedagogical, and content knowledge). Hernández-Ramos et al. (2023) explained that there are relevant elements to produce an effective learning environment mediated by technology, namely LMS (learning management systems), learning goals, content, teaching methods, monitoring and evaluation, collaboration and social interaction, effective organization of time.

Research conducted by Karatas (2016) explains that laboratory skill competencies measured include solution problems, laboratory equipment usage, solution preparation, laboratory safety. Copriady (2018) explains that teacher competence in designing practicum sessions in the laboratory includes planning, implementation, and assessment in conducting practicum sessions in chemistry laboratories.

The components to measure TSPCK used by Mavhunga (2016) include learners' prior knowledge, curricular saliency, exploration of concepts and identifying current issues, knowledge of representations, conceptual teaching strategies, and conceptualization.

Arslan (2022) explains that teacher professional development includes lesson introduction activities, didactic structure of the lesson, classroom communication and management, student-centered approach, assessment-evaluation approach.

Competencies assessed in compiling lesson study include (1) formulation of learning objectives, selection and organization of teaching materials, (2) selection of learning resources / learning media, (4) learning scenarios / activities, (5) assessment of learning outcomes (Mulyatun, 2017).

Conclusion

The literature review on 20 articles that discuss chemistry teacher competence in the 21st century uses 17 international journals indexed by Scopus Q1-Q3 and 3 local journals indexed by SINTA 2-3. The findings of the research focus on the competence of chemistry teachers include discussions of teaching & learning, argumentation, PCK_CCC, AK, professional competence, TPASK, (PCK), chemistry laboratory, and pedagogic competence. Journals with discussions of PCK are the most widely used in this literature review. For the type of research used, namely using qualitative, quantitative, and mixed method approaches, with the most widely used research approach being 12 journals that use qualitative. The use of research instruments in this literature review includes observation, documentation interviews, tests, questionnaires, textbooks, lesson plans, field notes, PaP-eRs (professional-experience, CoRe (content representation), repertoires), videos, lab reports. Data analysis methods included t-test, paired sample t-test, deductive, inductive, triangulation, inferencial, descriptive statistics, anava, coding, factor analysis, item analysis, descriptive analysis, contextual analysis, regression, correlation, QCA, in-depth analysis, enumerative approach, CCM, CFA, and AK rubric. The research sample consisted of 9 graduate students, 316 pre-service teachers, and 735 teachers. PCK components include STO, KoL, KoC, KoS, KoA. Components in the assessment include CK assessment, PCK assessment. PCK_CCC instrument includes KOTLC, KCC, KISTC, KACL, and KSUC. There are several relevant elements to produce an effective learning environment mediated by technology. Laboratory skill competencies measured include problem solution, laboratory equipment usage, solution preparation, laboratory safety.
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