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Abstract: This study aims to determine the significance of the effect of implementing the TGT-type cooperative learning model on the science collaboration abilities of fourth-grade elementary school students on photosynthesis material. The method in this research is a quantitative method with a pre-experimental model (One Group Pretest-Posttest). The data collection technique used in this study uses non-test techniques, namely questionnaires and student activity observation sheets. Participants in this study were 25 fourth-grade students at SD Muhammadiyah Blawong I. Data analysis used a paired sample t-test. Based on the results of the Paired-Sample T-Test analysis, a Sig value of 0.000 <0.05 was obtained. Because the significant value is smaller than 0.05, $H_0$ is rejected and $H_1$ is accepted. The TGT-type cooperative learning model through a jellyfish hunting game shows significant differences in students' collaboration abilities before and after treatment. The conclusion is that there is a significant effect of implementing the TGT-type cooperative learning model through the jellyfish hunting game on the science collaboration abilities of fourth-grade elementary school students on photosynthesis material.
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Introduction

The urgency of education for every human being is an investment in developing a nation of high quality and competitiveness (Prasetyo et al., 2022). The quality of education can be determined from how a country organizes its society in the education system (Suharno et al., 2020). The education system implemented in each country certainly varies according to the guidelines used in a country (Sadjadi, 2023).

Indonesia makes Pancasila the basis for national and state life (Junaidi & Prakoso, 2021). This indicates that Pancasila is also used as a guideline in the implementation of education in Indonesia as stated in Law no. 20 of 2003 concerning the National Education system, which reads: National Education is based on Pancasila and the 1945 Constitution. Therefore, education in Indonesia must be based on, imbued with and a reflection of the identity of Pancasila (Sari et al., 2023).

In order to shape student character that reflects Pancasila identity, the government issued a policy implementing an independent curriculum which includes the term Pancasila student profile (Utami et al., 2023). According to the Ministry of Education and Culture (2022), the Pancasila student profile is the embodiment of character traits and competencies based on Pancasila values that students are expected to achieve.

There are 6 dimensions of the Pancasila student profile which can be realized in students' daily lives through school culture, intracurricular learning, projects to strengthen the Pancasila student profile and extracurricular activities (Monaliza et al., 2023). If the six dimensions are implemented well, there will be
Pancasila students who can be relied upon by the nation and state in all areas of life (Andriani et al., 2023).

According to the Ministry of Education and Culture (2022), the profile of Pancasila students has several dimensions, one of which is the dimension of mutual cooperation. In the dimension of mutual cooperation there are several elements, one of which is the element of collaboration. Collaboration is the ability to actively carry out joint activities to achieve a goal (Holmes et al., 2019). Collaborative learning is considered as a method in which participants educate work in groups and cooperatively help each other in learning, in line with common goals (Laksmiwati et al., 2022). Shinde & Shinde (2022) state that in collaborative learning participants educate must be grouped, so that they can interact and discuss it with their friends, have a strong will to teach other friends, and benefit from the benefits of collaborative learning. The application of collaboration in learning can be done by creating group learning situations to create a democratic atmosphere such as learning to respect differences of opinion, realizing mistakes made, and fostering a sense of responsibility (Yates et al., 2021).

Saputri & Aminatun (2021) state that activities carried out in collaboration include: seeking knowledge together, building groups, setting goals, managing time, sharing opinions and solving problems in the group. In this case, the teacher as a facilitator in the class has a role in designing fun learning activities to create a collaborative learning atmosphere between students (Nguyen et al., 2022). Students must be accustomed to collaborating with other students through learning, both inside and outside the classroom (Qureshi et al., 2023). Teachers as the spearhead of education are required to be able to design collaborative learning to create students who have the Pancasila student profile, especially in the elements of mutual cooperation (Muthoharoh, 2022). The realization of students who have the Pancasila student profile can be implemented by implementing cooperative learning (Usman et al., 2023).

Cooperative learning is learning that divides the class into several small heterogeneous groups to work together, propose opinions to each other in developing concepts, solve problems with full responsibility, achieve common goals, need each other and practice interacting, communicating and socializing (Iswan, 2021). Cooperative learning in learning emphasizes cooperation between participants educate in groups (Tran et al., 2019). This is based on the idea that students find it easier to find and understand a factual concept if they discuss the problem with each other (Gunawan et al., 2019; Ozkale & Ozdemir Erdogan, 2022). Members of a group in cooperative learning usually consist of four to six people, where the group members formed are heterogeneous based on differences in academic ability, religion, gender and ethnicity (Silalahi & Hutauruk, 2020). Teams Games Tournament or TGT is one type of cooperative learning model that can be applied by teachers in the classroom (Pongkendek et al., 2019).

Based on the term TGT which has elements of games, TGT carries the concept of learning which has games in it, although not directly and the games are played through academic tournaments (Capinding, 2021). The presence of game elements certainly makes students enthusiastic about participating in class learning. TGT makes each student compete with each other between groups to collect as many points as possible (Sugiyati & Indriani, 2022). Each student is required to be responsible for solving questions in the game because the results will be accumulated in one group (Sun et al., 2020).

Jellyfish hunting is a new breakthrough in TGT type cooperative learning. Students hunt for questions contained in jellyfish tentacles to be done by each student to get points for their group. The questions on the jellyfish tentacles can be adjusted to suit students' needs. Question hunting activities like this are certainly able to encourage students to collaborate with each other in their groups (Benoit et al., 2019; Mamajanova, 2020).

Based on research results, TGT is defined as a learning model carried out in groups in order to improve students' collaboration abilities (Zuhri et al., 2022). The application of TGT assisted by missing cards (KaHi) can improve participants' numeracy skills educate 1st grade elementary school (Deyu Kaslita et al., 2023). Apart from that, there are also studies that show an increase in collaboration skills after implementing the TGT model assisted by snakes and ladders media (Putri & Prasetyo, 2018). Furthermore, the findings of Pratama et al. (2023) show that cooperative learning using the TGT type assisted by crossword puzzles has an effect on mastery of biological concepts. Ningsiyih et al. (2022) also found that there was an influence of the team game tournament model using congklak pinang nuts as a traditional game to train participants' critical thinking skills. Educate to be applied to help train participants critically educate thinking ability.

Based on the explanation above, it is necessary to design an innovation and update in science learning by implementing the TGT type cooperative learning model through jellyfish hunting games to improve students' science collaboration abilities and form a Pancasila student profile, especially in the dimension of mutual cooperation. Furthermore, this research also has several other objectives, the first is to analyze the effect of providing TGT cooperative learning model treatment through jellyfish hunting games on science learning in
terms of students' achievement of basic competencies. The next aim is to analyze science learning using the TGT-type cooperative learning model through jellyfish hunting games to improve students' collaboration abilities.

**Method**

This research is quantitative research with pre-experimental methods which was carried out on (date) at SD Muhammadiyah Blawong I in the 2023/2024 academic year. The design used in this research is the One Group Pretest-Posttest. In this study, the researcher only treated one class, this means that this study did not use a control class or comparison class. It can also be said that only taking one class has two functions, namely as an experimental class and as a control class. The design of this study can be illustrated as follows:

![Figure 1. Research scheme](image)

The aim of using this design is to examine the effect of the TGT-type cooperative learning model through a jellyfish hunting game to improve students' collaboration abilities. This experimental study involved 25 fourth-grade students at SD Muhammadiyah Blawong I as research subjects. The participants in this study consisted of 13 male students and 12 female students. Samples were taken using a simple random sampling technique.

This experimental research was carried out through activities by the TGT syntax which lasted for six class hours. The first syntax is class presentation. In this phase, the teacher presents the prepared material and prepares a jellyfish hunting game. The second syntax is a team discussion consisting of 3-4 students. Team formation is carried out heterogeneously to create learning groups that do not dominate. In discussion activities, students are required to contribute to solving problems together. The fourth syntax is a game, in this phase, the game is still played in one team to test the students' abilities. The fifth syntax is competition, in this phase a competition is held between teams to solve science problems on photosynthesis material through a jellyfish hunting game. The final syntax is awarding. At the end of the phase, the team that gets the highest score or points will become the champion and will receive an appreciation. Apart from that, motivation is also given to teams that have not received high scores so that they try to be better in the future.

The instruments for measuring students' collaboration abilities in science lessons in this study were in the form of questionnaires and student activity observation sheets. The development of the instrument in this study is the result of modifications and discussions with colleagues and has gone through expert validity testing from science teachers. This test instrument is used to measure students' science collaboration abilities which consists of 15 statement items. The instrument grid has been developed in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Item</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Have teamwork skills</td>
<td>1, 2, 3, 4, 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Able to adapt to conditions in various roles, working productively with others</td>
<td>6, 7, 8, 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Have empathy and a different perspective</td>
<td>10, 11, 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Able to collaborate with other team members for goals</td>
<td>13, 14, 15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The data collection technique used in this study uses non-test techniques, namely questionnaires and activity observation sheets. Questionnaires and observation sheets were used to see the extent to which science learning was implemented in elementary school class IV photosynthesis materials using the TGT type cooperative learning model through jellyfish hunting games. The form of questionnaire used is a closed questionnaire, namely using closed statements where the person who is the subject just has to choose the answers that have been provided. The answers to each instrument item use a Likert scale which has gradations from very suitable, suitable, not suitable, and very not suitable. The following are the criteria for giving scores on students' science collaboration questionnaires. Then, for the purposes of quantitative analysis, the Likert scale was converted into a score as in Table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Positive statements</th>
<th>Negative statements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Strongly disagree</td>
<td>Strongly disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Strongly agree</td>
<td>Strongly agree</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score Interval</th>
<th>Information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3.26 - 4</td>
<td>Very High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.6 - 3.25</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.76 - 2.5</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 - 1.75</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Collaboration ability data is taken at the beginning of learning activities (pretest) to see the initial condition of students before treatment and at the end of learning (posttest) to see the final condition of students after
being given treatment. The technique for determining scores 1–4 uses a Likert scale as in Table 3. To determine whether a respondent falls into the low, medium, high, or very high category, the scores for each answer from the 15 statements are added up and then divided by the number of statements. Then the results are matched to the intervalization list above to classify respondents.

Instrument validity testing is carried out to find out whether an instrument is valid or not. A valid instrument means that it can measure the indicators you want to measure and the results can be said to be valid. Based on the data obtained from the questionnaire and the results of observations given to respondents, the instrument is then tested to measure the level of suitability of the instrument, and a validity analysis can be carried out. After obtaining validity evidence that states that the instrument is ready to be used in research, the instrument is tested to estimate its reliability. The reliability estimation results are presented in Table 4. Based on the results of calculations using SPSS 27, the reliability value of the instrument tested was 0.90. This means that the level of accuracy of the instrument tested is very high and reliable.

### Table 4. Results of reliability estimation of research instruments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test Reliability</th>
<th>Interpretation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>Very high</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The research data analyzed were pretest and posttest data on students' collaboration abilities. Pretest data is used to determine the initial conditions of the two sample classes, while posttest data is used to test and compare the learning of the control class and conventional class. The data analysis techniques used are descriptive statistics and inferential statistics. The prerequisite tests used are the normality type test and the variance type homogeneity test.

Descriptive analysis is used to describe data on students' collaboration abilities before and after treatment. The data presented consists of the average (mean), standard deviation (SD), maximum and minimum scores achieved, as well as the percentage of students' completion. Data on students' collaboration ability scores is described by comparing the average score of each variable with the specified minimum completeness criteria. Inferential data analysis is used to statistically prove the proposed research hypothesis and answer the stated problem formulation.

To test the hypothesis, researchers used data analysis techniques with paired sample t-test using the SPSS 27 application. If the significance value is <0.05 then it can be said that variable and $H_1$ is accepted and vice versa if $t$ count < $t$ table then $H_0$ is accepted and $H_1$ is rejected.

### Result and Discussion

This research focuses on analyzing changes in the collaboration abilities of fourth-grade students in science lessons on photosynthesis material before and after being treated with the TGT-type cooperative learning model through a jellyfish hunting game. The data in this research are scores from the results of collaboration skills on a questionnaire totaling 15 statements developed from several indicators on the instrument grid. The questionnaire was given to students before and after being given the TGT-type cooperative learning model treatment through a jellyfish hunting game sharing material. Descriptive analysis was carried out first to determine changes in students' collaboration abilities.

### Table 5. Statistical Description

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
<th>Mean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre Test</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>71.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post Test</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>80.24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on Table 5, the average score for students' collaboration ability was 71.2 before being treated with the TGT type cooperative learning model through the jellyfish hunting game. Meanwhile, after being treated with the TGT type cooperative learning model through a jellyfish hunting game, the average posttest score was 80.24. The maximum score obtained from 88 increased to 90 after being treated with the TGT type cooperative learning model through a jellyfish hunting game. This indicates that there appears to be an increase in students' collaboration abilities. This collaboration ability data will then be tested for normality to determine whether the data is normally or not normally distributed.

Normality test data can be taken by comparing the significance value with the alpha value. This test utilizes the Shapiro-Wilk test because the number of research subjects is less than 100. The following is a hypothesis for testing data normality.

$H_0$ : Normally distributed data

$H_1$ : Data is not normally distributed

Based on Table 6, data on students' collaboration abilities in the pretest phase received a value of 0.441 and in the posttest phase, they received a value of 0.066. This shows that in both phases, the significance value of students' collaboration abilities is more than alpha 0.05 in the Shapiro-Wilk test. So it can be concluded that the science collaboration ability data has a normal distribution so the next step is to analyze the data using the t-test.
Table 6. Normality Test Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tests of Normality</th>
<th>Kolmogorov-Smirnova</th>
<th>Shapiro-Wilk</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Statistic</td>
<td>Df</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre Test</td>
<td>.129</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post Test</td>
<td>.128</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Testing with the t-test can provide arguments regarding whether there are significant differences in the two phases, namely the pretest phase and the posttest phase. After applying the TGT-type cooperative learning model, both data, namely the pretest and posttest, were analyzed using the paired sample t-test. This test aims to compare the values of paired samples. The one-sample t-test can measure whether the average of a variable statistically has a significant difference when compared with the average value as an assumption or hypothesis value. In this study, we want to test whether there is a significant difference in the average science collaboration abilities of students. The following is the hypothesis of this research.

- $H_0$ : There is no significant effect of implementing the TGT-type cooperative learning model through a jellyfish hunting game on the science collaboration abilities of fourth-grade elementary school students.
- $H_1$ : There is a significant influence of implementing the TGT-type cooperative learning model through the jellyfish hunting game on the science collaboration abilities of fourth-grade elementary school students.

The context of the word "influence" in this study's hypothesis refers to the impact of implementing the TGT-type cooperative learning model through jellyfish hunting games, resulting in significant changes between the pretest and posttest results. The t-test results are presented in Table 7.

Table 7. T-test results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Paired Samples Test</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. dev</th>
<th>Std. Error</th>
<th>95% Confidence Interval of the Difference</th>
<th>Lower</th>
<th>Upper</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>Sig (2-tailed)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pair 1</td>
<td>-9.040</td>
<td>6.636</td>
<td>1.327</td>
<td>-11.779</td>
<td>-6.301</td>
<td>-6.811</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on Table 7, it can be seen that the results of the t-test data on students' collaboration abilities obtained a sig value of 0.000, which means less than 0.05. So from these results, it can be concluded that $H_0$ is rejected. The final conclusion is that there is a significant effect of implementing the TGT-type cooperative learning model through the jellyfish hunting game on the science collaboration abilities of fourth-grade elementary school students.

The application of the TGT-type cooperative learning model through a jellyfish hunting game aims to train students' collaboration skills in teamwork. Before the study was carried out, the research instrument had been validated by the validator and the validator was of the opinion that the instrument that had been prepared fell into the valid category of content validation. The results of this study show that there is an influence of the application of the TGT-type cooperative learning model through a jellyfish hunting game on the science collaboration abilities of fourth-grade elementary school students after hypothesis testing. The following is a form of change in the phases before and after the implementation of the TGT model.

Based on Figure 2, students carry out jellyfish hunting activities in the form of science problems on photosynthesis material. These questions are divided into 3 categories which are arranged based on the level of students' ability to solve science problems, namely low, medium, and high. The three categories are given points of 5, 10, and 15. The aim of giving points is to determine which team gets the highest score.

Through jellyfish hunting activities, students appear enthusiastic in hunting for questions and increase their self-confidence and mutual respect for other students when taking questions. This finding is supported by the
results of a study that shows an increase in self-confidence and enjoyment when learning through games so that good collaborative relationships and mutual respect are established (Baydar, 2020). Apart from that, research results Permadi et al. (2018), state that the TGT model is cooperative learning which contains game elements. These findings are very in accordance with the study of the TGT-type cooperative learning model through the jellyfish hunting game. In line with these findings, Yudha & Mandasari, (2021) argue that learning that contains game elements can make it easier for students to absorb knowledge.

TGT activities allow students to have responsibility and involvement in collaboration with other team members to achieve common goals. TGT can provide opportunities for students to interact openly with their groups and the teaching and learning process by holding small tournaments (Hanjayani, 2022). This is confirmed by studies found Kaeksi & Setiawati, (2019) that TGT increases students' responsible abilities. The involvement of students in team collaboration is shown in Figure 3.

Based on Figure 3, the application of the TGT model by involving all team members causes students to actively participate in solving problems so that each student feels they have a responsibility in making their team the team that gets the highest score. This finding is supported by research results which suggest that the TGT model allows students to be more interested in learning and fosters a sense of responsibility, teamwork, healthy competition, and learning involvement (Hidayah & Sari, 2020). In addition, Azizah et al., (2021) argue that learning using TGT allows students to learn in a relaxed manner and with greater responsibility, cooperation, healthy competition, and learning involvement.

Through the results of the research and discussion presented above, the TGT-type cooperative learning model has a significant impact on students' science collaboration abilities regarding class IV elementary school photosynthesis material. Students' collaboration abilities are one of the important things to develop in students. The ability to collaborate between students is included in the mutual cooperation element in the Pancasila student profile. Therefore, to form students who have a Pancasila student profile, especially in the element of mutual cooperation, it is necessary to have student activities that require collaboration between students.

Activities to form elements of mutual cooperation, especially collaboration, can be realized in the TGT-type cooperative learning model through jellyfish hunting games. The study results show that TGT can improve the collaboration abilities of fourth-grade elementary school students. TGT through a jellyfish hunting game played in groups. TGT can support activities that involve all students because each student has the same responsibility in developing their team. Apart from that, TGT can provide opportunities for students to learn with game elements. This makes students feel happy participating and competing healthily during tournaments with other teams.

Conclusion

Based on the findings and discussion of studies regarding science learning using the TGT type cooperative learning model through jellyfish hunting games to improve students' collaborative abilities, it shows that there are significant differences in collaborative abilities in learning that applies the TGT type cooperative learning model through jellyfish hunting games. Science learning using the TGT-type cooperative learning model through jellyfish hunting games has an effect on the collaboration abilities of fourth-grade elementary school students on photosynthesis material.
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