

JPPIPA 10(12) (2024)

Jurnal Penelitian Pendidikan IPA

Journal of Research in Science Education



http://jppipa.unram.ac.id/index.php/jppipa/index

Potential Application of Aerobic Granular Sludge (AGS) Technology in Tofu Industry Wastewater Treatment

Y A A Hidayat1*, S Sumiyati1, M A Budihardjo1, N I Setianingsih2

¹Department of Environmental Engineering, Universitas Diponegoro, Semarang, Indonesia.

²Research Center for Environment and Clean Technology, National Research and Innovation Agency, Jakarta, Indonesia.

Received: September 5, 2024 Revised: October 16, 2024 Accepted: December 25, 2024 Published: December 31, 2024

Corresponding Author: Y A A Hidayat yudha.adhityan@gmail.com

DOI: 10.29303/jppipa.v10i12.9072

© 2024 The Authors. This open access article is distributed under a (CC-BY License)

Abstract: The tofu industry produces high protein food but produces wastewater with high organic matter and ammonia which is the result of protein breakdown in soybean seeds during the extraction process. Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs) sometimes neglect waste treatment due to limited resources. Indonesian regulations stipulate special quality standards for wastewater in the Regulation of the Minister of Environment of the Republic of Indonesia No. 5 of 2014 concerning Wastewater Quality Standards for Soybean Processing Businesses and/or Activities. Indicators of organic matter pollution are characterized by the parameters BOD 150 mg/l, COD 300 mg/l, TSS 200 mg/l, and pH 5-9. Meanwhile, tofu industrial wastewater without special treatment can exceed the quality standard with BOD levels of 5.000-10.000 mg/l and COD levels of 7.000-12,000 mg/l. To overcome this problem apart from using activated sludge, researchers are exploring the use of Aerobic Granular Sludge (AGS) technology. AGS involves aggregate microorganisms in granules to treat wastewater efficiently. This increases treatment efficiency, reduces space requirements, and handles high organic loads effectively. Although aerobic granular technology is promising, research is still ongoing to better understand its characteristics and optimize applications in various industries and environmental conditions. This study aims to explore the potential application of Aerobic Granular Sludge (AGS) technology in treating tofu industrial wastewater with high organic matter content and significant ammonia content so that it meets permitted quality standards.

Keywords: Aerobic granular sludge (AGS); Biological treatment; Organic matter removal; Tofu industry; Wastewater

Introduction

Currently, tofu businesses in Indonesia are mostly still carried out with simple technology, so the level of efficiency in the use of resources (water and raw materials) is still considered low and the level of waste production is also relatively high. Tofu industry activities in Indonesia are dominated by small-scale businesses with limited capital. In terms of location, this business is also very spread throughout Indonesia. The human resources involved generally have a relatively low level of education, and not many have carried out waste processing (Montesdeoca-Calderón et al., 2024). The tofu industry in its processing process produces waste, both solid and liquid waste. Solid waste is produced from the filtering and coagulation process, this waste is mostly sold and processed by craftsmen into tempe gembus, tofu dregs crackers, animal feed, and processed into tofu dregs flour which will be used as the basic ingredient for making dry bread and cakes. While the liquid waste is produced from the process of washing, boiling, pressing and molding tofu, therefore the wastewater produced is very high (Oktorina et al., 2019; Versino et al., 2023).

How to Cite:

Hidayat, Y. A. A., Sumiyati, S., Budihardjo, M. A., & Setianingsih, N. I. (2025). Potential Application of Aerobic Granular Sludge (AGS) Technology in Tofu Industry Wastewater Treatment. Jurnal Penelitian Pendidikan IPA, 10(12), 1041–1048. https://doi.org/10.29303/jppipa.v10i12.9072

Tofu wastewater with characteristics containing high organic matter and quite high ammonia content if directly discharged into water bodies, will clearly reduce the environmental carrying capacity (Sonawane et al., 2022; Fitria et al., 2023). Ammoniacal nitrogen is a major contaminant in municipal and industrial wastewater, causing toxicity to aquatic organisms and eutrophication in water bodies (Chaohui et al., 2022; Zhang et al., 2022; Salbitani & Carfagna, 2021). Sodium ammonia is a chemical compound consisting of sodium and ammonia. Ammonia, which is one of the components of sodium ammonia, can cause harm to human health (Adlimoghaddam et al., 2016). Hazards to the respiratory system: accidental inhalation of ammonia can cause irritation of the nose, throat, and lungs (Wyer et al., 2022; Clausen et al., 2020). Contact with high concentrations of ammonia gas can cause lung damage and even death (A. Wang et al., 2022; Hua & Ou, 2024; Y. Li et al., 2021). To overcome this problem, in addition to using activated sludge, researchers are exploring the use of Aerobic Granular Sludge (AGS) technology (Setianingsih et al., 2024; D. Li et al., 2021; Q. Jiang et al., 2022). Aerobic Granular Sludge (AGS) is a new microbial community that allows the simultaneous removal of carbon, nitrogen, phosphorus, and other pollutants in one system (T. Guo et al., 2024; Hou et al., 2021; X. Guo et al., 2024).

AGS differs from activated sludge in physical, chemical and microbiological properties and offers a simple and cost-effective treatment for removing oxidized and reduced contaminants from wastewater. AGS involves microorganisms in aggregate grains (colonies) to efficiently treat wastewater (Silva, 2023). Based on the description above, this article will review AGS technology in overcoming the problem of tofu industry waste with high organic content and significant ammonia content so that it meets the permitted quality standards.

Method

The method used in writing this article is the literature review method. The author conducted a literature search from various sources such as scientific

Table 1. Results of Literature Review

journals, books, and online articles related to the application of AGS technology in processing tofu industry wastewater. The data obtained were then analyzed and compiled in the form of journal articles. The literature review research methodology is carried out in several stages as follows:

Search Stage

At this stage, the researcher searches for library sources that are relevant to the research topic. Searches can be done through journal databases, books, slides, information from the internet, and others. The keywords used must be relevant to the research topic.

Selection Stage

At this stage, the researcher selects the library sources that have been found. The selected library sources must meet the specified inclusion criteria. The following are the specified inclusion criteria: The article has been published both nationally and internationally indexed; The article was published in the period 2013 to 2023; The article examines AGS (Aerobic Granular Sludge) technology.

Extraction Stage

At this stage, the researcher extracts data from the selected library sources. The extracted data can be theories, findings, and other research materials obtained from library sources.

Analysis Stage

At this stage, the researcher analyzes the extracted data. Analysis can be done using narrative methods or critical methods.

Result and Discussion

The initial stage in this study was to search for library sources. Based on the search results, 14 articles were obtained. From the 14 articles, a selection was carried out according to the inclusion criteria and 9 articles were obtained. After obtaining 9 articles, the next stage was extraction and analysis.

| Table 1. Results of Elicitatule Review | | | | |
|--|--------------------------------|--|------------|--|
| Author and Year | Title | Results | Source | |
| (Nancharaiah & | Aerobic granular sludge for | Ammonium, total nitrogen and phosphorus removal | Journal of | |
| Sarvajith, 2022) | efficient biotransformation of | were stabilized at 85%, 80% and 75%, respectively, at | Hazardous | |
| - | chalcogen SeIV and TeIV | 500 µM SeIV and TeIV. 16S rRNA gene sequencing | Materials | |
| | oxyanions: Biological nutrient | confirmed the known enrichment of SeIV and TeIV | Vol. 422 | |
| | removal and biogenesis of Se0 | reducing bacteria in the granules. qPCR and removal | | |
| | and Te0 nanostructures | kinetics supported the removal of ammonia via | | |
| | | nitritation-denitritation. This work demonstrated the functional capability of AGS to effectively remove | | |
| | | | | |

| Sourc | Results | Title | Author and Year |
|------------------------|---|--|---------------------------|
| | toxic SeIV and TeIV oxyanions in addition to | | |
| | performing simultaneous COD, nitrogen and | | |
| | phosphorus removal. Efficient biological nutrient | | |
| | removal in the presence of toxic SeIV and TeIV concentrations, demonstrated the robustness of AGS | | |
| | | | |
| Bioresourc | and its resistance to toxic contaminants. The results confirmed that SMF increased the average | Enchanced aerobic granular | (H. Wang et al |
| Technolog | size and readiness of granules, stimulated the | Enchanced aerobic granular sludge by static magnetic field | (H. Wang et al., 2022) |
| Vol. 35 | secretion of extracellular polymeric substances with | to treat saline wastewater via | 2022) |
| V0I. 550 | high protein content, in turn enhancing aerobic | simulaneous partial | |
| | granulation. Although high salt stress inhibited | nitrification and denitrification | |
| | functional microorganisms, SMF maintained better | (SPND) process | |
| | SPND performance with the average COD removal, | (51112) process | |
| | TN removal and nitrite accumulation ratio finally | | |
| | recovered to 100%, 72.9% and 91.1%, respectively. | | |
| Journal c | The results showed that granules could not be formed | Aerobic granulat sludge | (Y. Jiang et al., |
| Hazardou | in 90-day cultivation when directly fed with the target | system for treating hypersaline | 2021) |
| Material | hypersaline pharmaceutical wastewater (RP) due to | pharmaceutical wastewater: | 2021) |
| Vol. 41 | the suppression of EPS secretion by high | Start-up, long-term | |
| | concentrations of inhibitory organics, while granules | perfromances and metabolic | |
| | were successfully developed with hypersaline | function. | |
| | synthetic wastewater (RS) and diluted pharmaceutical | Tunction | |
| | wastewater (RD), respectively. | | |
| Enviromenta | The alternative based on AGS is estimated to have a | A comparasion of aerobic | (Bengtsson et al., |
| Technolog | 40-50% smaller footprint and 23% less electricity | granular sludge with | 2019) |
| 0. | requirement than conventional activated sludge. | conventional and compact | , |
| Vol. 40, Issues. 21 | Relative to other compact treatment options IFAS and | biological treatment | |
| | MBR, the AGS process is estimated to consume 35- | technologies | |
| | 70% less electricity. This shows the profitable | 0 | |
| | potential for AGS based processes although more | | |
| | experience of AGS operation and performance is | | |
| | available at full scale. | | |
| Enviromenta | This article reviews the application of aerobic | Various applications of aerobic | (Purba et al., |
| Technology & | granular sludge for the removal of nutrients, phenolic | granular sludge: A review | 2020) |
| Innovation | compounds and heavy metals from municipal, | | |
| Vol. 20 | domestic and industrial wastewater. It discusses the | | |
| | integration of aerobic granular biofloc with | | |
| | membrane technology, microbial fuel cells and | | |
| | microalgae to improve wastewater treatment | | |
| | efficiency. | | |
| Bioresourc | The main microbial groups present in AGS and their | Aerobic granular sludge: | (De Sousa |
| Technolog | respective functions are discussed. It is also observed | Cultivation parameters and | Rollemberg et al., |
| Vol.27 | that many parameters taken as current references for | removal mechanism | 2018) |
| Pages 678-68 | LGA cultivation and maintenance can be optimized | | |
| | for energy savings, implementation costs as well as | | |
| | greater resource recovery during wastewater | | |
| | treatment, within the scope of the biorefinery concept. | | |
| Current Opinion i | The results show that other benefits of the AGS | Aerobic granular sludge | (Nancharaiah & |
| Enviromenta | process are lower sludge production and resource | process: a fast growing | Sarvajith, 2019) |
| Science & Healt | utilization from excess sludge. The microbial groups | biological treatment for | |
| Vol. 1 | present and their unique metabolism contribute to the | sustainable wastewater | |
| Pages 57-65 | reduction of sludge production in the AGS process. | treatment | |
| | Extraction of alginate-like exopolymers enhances | | |
| | resource recovery and aids sludge management | | |
| Bioresourc | The results showed that microorganisms in the | Characterization of aerobic | (Chen et al., |
| Technology Vol. 271 | granules were able to degrade petroleum chemicals | granular sludge used for the | 2019) |
| | consisting of the genera Propioniciclava, Micropruina, | - | |
| | Alphaproteobacteria, Flavobacterium, and | wastewater | |
| | Sulfuritalea | | |

Strengths of AGS Technology

Aerobic Granular Sludge (AGS) was developed as a better substitute for activated sludge (AS) and overcomes the problem of sludge-water separation in the biological treatment of municipal and industrial wastewater. AGS consists of solid, non-self-moving microbial granules that have a compact microbial structure, high biopolymer content and higher settling velocity. AGS differs from activated sludge in physical, chemical and microbiological properties and offers a compact and cost-effective treatment for the removal of oxidized and reduced contaminants from wastewater. AGS sequencing batch reactors have demonstrated their usefulness in the treatment of slaughterhouse, livestock, rubber, landfill leachate, dairy, brewery, textile and other wastes. AGS is extensively researched for widespread implementation in wastewater treatment plants. Based on the research results, Aerobic Granular Sludge (AGS) technology has the following strengths:

Organic Waste Treatment Capability

AGS is an effective and efficient organic waste treatment technology. AGS can treat organic waste well and produce cleaner wastewater (Liu et al., 2023).

Nutrient Removal Capability

AGS can remove nutrients such as nitrogen and phosphorus from organic waste. This makes AGS very suitable for use in organic waste processing from the food and beverage industry (Pour & Makkawi, 2021).

Energy Saving Capability

AGS requires less energy compared to other organic waste processing technologies. This makes AGS more environmentally friendly and economical (Zueva et al., 2024).

Space Saving Capability

AGS requires less space compared to other organic waste processing technologies. This makes AGS suitable for use in areas with limited land (Amrul et al., 2022).

Cost Reduction Capability

AGS can reduce operational and maintenance costs because it requires less energy and chemicals compared to other organic waste processing technologies (Capodaglio & Olsson, 2019).

From the description above, it can be concluded that AGS is an organic waste processing technology that has strengths in organic waste processing, nutrient removal, energy savings, space savings, and cost reduction. AGS is an effective and efficient technology in processing organic waste and can be used in various industries.

Weaknesses of AGS Technology

Over the past two decades, the AGS process has developed into a mature technology solution for largescale WWTPs to treat domestic and industrial wastewater. Sustainable wastewater treatment is anticipated using AGS reactors. The main considerations driving the implementation of AGS technology are the significant reduction in land footprint (up to 75%), as well as capital and operating costs (up to 50%) compared to conventional AS processes and other biotechnologies (Tamba et al., 2023). With these economic benefits together with better treatment performance, the AGS process could soon become the standard for sustainable biological wastewater treatment. However, behind some of the advantages of AGS technology, there are several weaknesses of Aerobic Granular Sludge (AGS) technology, including (Guzmán-Fierro et al., 2023).

Requires Long Start-Up Time

AGS requires a longer start-up time compared to other organic wastewater treatment technologies. This is due to the granule formation process which takes quite a long time (Shameem & Sabumon, 2023; Zou et al., 2023).

Requires Intensive Maintenance

AGS requires more intensive maintenance compared to other organic wastewater treatment technologies. This is due to the nature of granules which are susceptible to damage.

Susceptible to Environmental Influences

AGS is susceptible to environmental influences such as changes in temperature, pH, and nutrient concentrations. This can affect the performance of AGS in treating organic waste. From these weaknesses, it can be concluded that AGS has several weaknesses that need to be considered in its use. Nevertheless, AGS remains an effective and efficient organic waste treatment technology in treating organic waste and producing cleaner treated water.

Opportunities for AGS Technology

The conventional AS process is no longer considered sustainable for wastewater treatment due to its large land footprint, higher costs, and complicated process design to achieve biological nutrient removal (nitrogen and phosphorus) (Abdoli et al., 2024; K. Wang et al., 2021). GS has emerged as a new standard for sustainable biological wastewater treatment and to meet stringent waste discharge limits. GS differs from AS in terms of its large particle size, compact microstructure, slow-growing functional maintaining microbes, biopolymer composition, high settling velocity, and lower sludge volume index value. The GS process is 1044

Currently, the GS process is the most advantageous biological treatment option considering the advanced wastewater treatment coupled with lower land footprint and cost. GS technology could be a better option for new treatment plants and capacity expansion of existing wastewater treatment plants in the coming years, to close the gap. GS technology has been proven to be a suitable option for the treatment of aerobic biological wastewater and various industrial wastes. However, most GS studies have been conducted in laboratoryscale sequencing batch reactors using synthetic wastewater with defined substrates and well-controlled operating conditions, which are not true representatives of real wastewater and prevailing environmental conditions.

Accumulating evidence suggests that GS formation is feasible in medium to high strength industrial wastewater (Hamza et al., 2016). Currently, SBR technology is being considered for STPs in various parts of the world. However, many plants still rely on AS for wastewater treatment. With certain modifications in layout and operation, these SBR AS can be converted into GS systems. This is because GS is superior to AS in removing contaminants and tolerating fluctuations in influent and environmental conditions (Vaksmaa et al., 2023). GS technology also promises to be a promising technology for capacity expansion and new STPs (Aldoseri et al., 2024).

Challenges of AGS Technology

Aerobic granular bioflocs have been predicted to replace conventional activated sludge systems in wastewater treatment plants (Ali et al., 2021). However, the application of aerobic granular sludge in full-scale treatment plants still faces several challenges as follows: It is impossible to convert 100% of activated sludge into aerobic granular biofloc because the process itself removes less dense sludge and only retains sludge with excellent settling properties, resulting in biofloc with low SVI. Therefore, the mechanism to increase the conversion rate of sludge into granules is still a challenge and requires extensive research (Gopinath et al., 2021; Wilén et al., 2018). Most aerobic granulation uses SBR system as the most suitable reactor type. However, the application of SBR in full-scale treatment plants is still a difficult task because most wastewater treatment plants use continuous flow reactors (Matesun et al., 2024; Strubbe et al., 2022). Aerobic granular biofloc may take months to develop. However, the evaluation of granule stability and disintegration process due to unknown factors needs further research.

Conclusion

From the results and discussion above, it can be seen that AGS technology has several strengths and weaknesses. The strengths of AGS are the ability to process organic waste, the ability to remove nutrients, the ability to save energy, the ability to save space, and the ability to reduce costs, while the weaknesses of AGS are that it requires a long start-up time, requires intensive maintenance, and is susceptible to environmental influences. AGS technology is more advantageous than the AS process in removing contaminants effectively, tolerability to changes in environmental influents and lower sludge production. The application of AGS in treatment plants faces several challenges including the difficulty of converting 100% activated sludge into aerobic granular biofloc, most aerobic granulation uses the SBR system as the most suitable type of reactor, and aerobic granular biofloc may take months to develop.

Acknowledgments

Thanks to all parties who have supported the implementation of this research. I hope this research can be useful.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization, Y. A. A. H; methodology, S. S.; validation, M. A. B.; formal analysis, N. I. S.; investigation, Y. A. A. H.; resources, S. S.; data curation, M. A. B.: writing – original draft preparation, N. I. S.; writing – review and editing, Y. A. A. H.: visualization, S. S. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding

Researchers independently funded this research.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Abdoli, S., Asgari Lajayer, B., Dehghanian, Z., Bagheri, N., Vafaei, A. H., Chamani, M., Rani, S., Lin, Z., Shu, W., & Price, G. W. (2024). A Review of the Efficiency of Phosphorus Removal and Recovery from Wastewater by Physicochemical and Biological Processes: Challenges and Opportunities. *Water*, 16(17), 2507. https://doi.org/10.3390/w16172507
- Adlimoghaddam, A., Sabbir, M. G., & Albensi, B. C. (2016). Ammonia as a Potential Neurotoxic Factor in Alzheimer's Disease. *Frontiers in Molecular*

Neuroscience,

9.

https://doi.org/10.3389/fnmol.2016.00057

- Aldoseri, A., Al-Khalifa, K. N., & Hamouda, A. M. (2024). AI-Powered Innovation in Digital Transformation: Key Pillars and Industry Impact. *Sustainability*, 16(5), 1790. https://doi.org/10.3390/su16051790
- Ali, N. S. A., Muda, K., Mohd Amin, M. F., Najib, M. Z. M., Ezechi, E. H., & Darwish, M. S. J. (2021). Initialization, enhancement and mechanisms of aerobic granulation in wastewater treatment. *Separation and Purification Technology*, 260, 118220. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2020.118220
- Amrul, N. F., Kabir Ahmad, I., Ahmad Basri, N. E., Suja, F., Abdul Jalil, N. A., & Azman, N. A. (2022). A Review of Organic Waste Treatment Using Black Soldier Fly (Hermetia illucens). *Sustainability*, 14(8), 4565. https://doi.org/10.3390/su14084565
- Bengtsson, S., De Blois, M., Wilén, B.-M., & Gustavsson, D. (2019). A comparison of aerobic granular sludge with conventional and compact biological treatment technologies. *Environmental Technology*, 40(21), 2769–2778. https://doi.org/10.1080/09593330.2018.1452985
- Capodaglio, A., & Olsson, G. (2019). Energy Issues in Sustainable Urban Wastewater Management: Use, Demand Reduction and Recovery in the Urban Water Cycle. *Sustainability*, 12(1), 266. https://doi.org/10.3390/su12010266
- Chaohui, X., Le, Z., Wang, Z., Zhang, Y., Gao, W., Wang, Y., & Sun, X. (2022). Remove of ammoniacal nitrogen wastewater by ultrasound/Mg/AlO/O. *Chemosphere*, 288, 132645. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2021.1326 45
- Chen, C., Ming, J., Yoza, B. A., Liang, J., Li, Q. X., Guo, H., Liu, Z., Deng, J., & Wang, Q. (2019). Characterization of aerobic granular sludge used for the treatment of petroleum wastewater. *Bioresource Technology*, 271, 353–359. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2018.09.132
- Clausen, P. A., Frederiksen, M., Sejbæk, C. S., Sørli, J. B., Hougaard, K. S., Frydendall, K. B., Carøe, T. K., Flachs, E. M., Meyer, H. W., Schlünssen, V., & Wolkoff, P. (2020). Chemicals inhaled from spray cleaning and disinfection products and their respiratory effects. A comprehensive review. *International Journal of Hygiene and Environmental Health*, 229, 113592. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijheh.2020.113592
- De Sousa-Rollemberg, S. L., Mendes Barros, A. R., Milen Firmino, P. I., & Bezerra Dos Santos, A. (2018). Aerobic granular sludge: Cultivation parameters and removal mechanisms. *Bioresource Technology*,

270, 678-688. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2018.08.130

- Fitria, N., Ainiyah, F., Hamidah, U., Sintawardani, N., & Koesmawati, T. A. (2023). Effect of storage time on in-situ parameters and total nitrogen analysis results of tofu liquid waste samples. *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, 1201(1), 012043. https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/1201/1/012043
- Gopinath, A., Divyapriya, G., Srivastava, V., Laiju, A. R., Nidheesh, P. V., & Kumar, M. S. (2021). Conversion of sewage sludge into biochar: A potential resource in water and wastewater treatment. *Environmental Research*, 194, 110656. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2020.110656
- Guo, T., Pan, K., Chen, Y., Tian, Y., Deng, J., & Li, J. (2024). When aerobic granular sludge faces emerging contaminants: A review. Science of the Total Environment, 907, 167792. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2023.167792
- Guo, X., Ma, X., Niu, X., Li, Z., Wang, Q., Ma, Y., Cai, S., Li, P., & Li, H. (2024). The impacts of biodegradable and non-biodegradable microplastic on the performance and microbial community characterization of aerobic granular sludge. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 15, 1389046. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2024.1389046
- Guzmán-Fierro, V., Arriagada, C., Gallardo, J. J., Campos, V., & Roeckel, M. (2023). Challenges of aerobic granular sludge utilization: Fast start-up strategies and cationic pollutant removal. *Heliyon*, 9(2), e13503.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2023.e13503

- Hamza, R. A., Iorhemen, O. T., & Tay, J. H. (2016). Advances in biological systems for the treatment of high-strength wastewater. *Journal of Water Process Engineering*, 10, 128–142. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jwpe.2016.02.008
- Hou, Y., Gan, C., Chen, R., Chen, Y., Yuan, S., & Chen, Y. (2021). Structural Characteristics of Aerobic Granular Sludge and Factors That Influence Its Stability: A Mini Review. *Water*, 13(19), 2726. https://doi.org/10.3390/w13192726
- Hua, Y., & Ou, X. (2024). A Case Report of Severe Accidental Acute Ammonia Exposure. Journal of Burn Care & Research, 45(1), 250–252. https://doi.org/10.1093/jbcr/irad157
- Jiang, Q., Chen, H., Fu, Z., Fu, X., Wang, J., Liang, Y., Yin, H., Yang, J., Jiang, J., Yang, X., Wang, H., Liu, Z., & Su, R. (2022). Current Progress, Challenges and Perspectives in the Microalgal-Bacterial Aerobic Granular Sludge Process: A Review. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health,

19(21),

13950.

https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph192113950

- Jiang, Y., Shi, X., & Ng, H. Y. (2021). Aerobic granular sludge systems for treating hypersaline pharmaceutical wastewater: Start-up, long-term performances and metabolic function. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 412, 125229. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2021.125229
- Ksepko, E., Klimontko, J., & Kwiecinska, A. (2019). Industrial wastewater treatment wastes used as oxygen carriers in energy generation processes: A green chemistry approach. *Journal of Thermal Analysis and Calorimetry*, 138(6), 4247–4260. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10973-019-08214-8
- Li, D., Yang, J., Li, Y., & Zhang, J. (2021). Research on rapid cultivation of aerobic granular sludge (AGS) with different feast-famine strategies in continuous flow reactor and achieving high-level denitrification via utilization of soluble microbial product (SMP). *Science of The Total Environment*, 786, 147237.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.147237

- Li, Y., Pan, L., Zeng, X., Zhang, R., Li, X., Li, J., Xing, H., & Bao, J. (2021). Ammonia exposure causes the imbalance of the gut-brain axis by altering gene networks associated with oxidative metabolism, inflammation and apoptosis. *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety*, 224, 112668. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2021.112668
- Liu, S., Zhou, M., Daigger, G. T., Huang, J., & Song, G. (2023). Granule formation mechanism, key influencing factors, and resource recycling in aerobic granular sludge (AGS) wastewater treatment: A review. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 338, 117771. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2023.117771
- Matesun, J., Petrik, L., Musvoto, E., Ayinde, W., & Ikumi, D. (2024). Limitations of wastewater treatment plants in removing trace anthropogenic biomarkers and future directions: A review. *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety, 281,* 116610. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2024.116610
- Montesdeoca-Calderón, M.-G., Gil-Saura, I., Ruiz-Molina, M.-E., & Martin-Rios, C. (2024). Tackling food waste management: Professional training in the public interest. *International Journal of Gastronomy and Food Science*, 35, 100863. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijgfs.2023.100863
- Nancharaiah, Y. V., & Sarvajith, M. (2019). Aerobic granular sludge process: A fast growing biological treatment for sustainable wastewater treatment. *Current Opinion in Environmental Science & Health*, 12, 57-65.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coesh.2019.09.011

Nancharaiah, Y. V., & Sarvajith, M. (2022). Aerobic granular sludge for efficient biotransformation of chalcogen SeIV and TeIV oxyanions: Biological nutrient removal and biogenesis of Se0 and Te0 nanostructures. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 422, 126833.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2021.126833

- Oktorina, A. N., Achmad, Z., & Mary, S. (2019). Phytoremediation of tofu wastewater using Eichhornia crassipes. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1341(5), 052009. https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1341/5/052009
- Pour, F. H., & Makkawi, Y. T. (2021). A review of postconsumption food waste management and its potentials for biofuel production. *Energy Reports*, *7*, 7759–7784.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egyr.2021.10.119

- Purba, L. D. A., Ibiyeye, H. T., Yuzir, A., Mohamad, S. E., Iwamoto, K., Zamyadi, A., & Abdullah, N. (2020). Various applications of aerobic granular sludge: A review. *Environmental Technology & Innovation*, 20, 101045. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eti.2020.101045
- Salbitani, G., & Carfagna, S. (2021). Ammonium Utilization in Microalgae: A Sustainable Method for Wastewater Treatment. *Sustainability*, *13*(2), 956. https://doi.org/10.3390/su13020956
- Setianingsih, N. I., Hadiyanto, Budihardjo, M. A., Yuliasni, R., Malik, R. A., Budiono, Sudarno, & Warsito, B. (2024). Potential application and strategies of aerobic granular sludge (AGS) technology for wastewater treatment in Indonesia: A review. Desalination and Water Treatment, 320, 100756.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dwt.2024.100756

- Shameem, K. S., & Sabumon, P. C. (2023). A Review on the Stability, Sustainability, Storage and Rejuvenation of Aerobic Granular Sludge for Wastewater Treatment. *Water*, 15(5), 950. https://doi.org/10.3390/w15050950
- Silva, J. A. (2023). Wastewater Treatment and Reuse for Sustainable Water Resources Management: A Systematic Literature Review. *Sustainability*, *15*(14), 10940. https://doi.org/10.3390/su151410940
- Singh, B. J., Chakraborty, A., & Sehgal, R. (2023). A systematic review of industrial wastewater management: Evaluating challenges and enablers. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 348, 119230. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2023.119230
- Sonawane, J. M., Mahadevan, R., Pandey, A., & Greener, J. (2022). Recent progress in microbial fuel cells using substrates from diverse sources. *Heliyon*, 8(12), e12353.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2022.e12353

- Strubbe, L., Pennewaerde, M., Baeten, J. E., & Volcke, E. I. P. (2022). Continuous aerobic granular sludge plants: Better settling versus diffusion limitation. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 428, 131427. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2021.131427
- Tamba, Y. R., Rahmat, A., & Solihat, R. (2023). Students' Information Processing Abilities and Cognitive Processes in Biotechnology Learning. Jurnal Penelitian Pendidikan IPA, 9(SpecialIssue), 598–606. https://doi.org/10.29303/jppipa.v9iSpecialIssue. 5021
- Vaksmaa, A., Guerrero-Cruz, S., Ghosh, P., Zeghal, E., Hernando-Morales, V., & Niemann, H. (2023). Role of fungi in bioremediation of emerging pollutants. *Frontiers in Marine Science*, 10, 1070905. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2023.1070905
- Versino, F., Ortega, F., Monroy, Y., Rivero, S., López, O. V., & García, M. A. (2023). Sustainable and Bio-Based Food Packaging: A Review on Past and Current Design Innovations. *Foods*, 12(5), 1057. https://doi.org/10.3390/foods12051057
- Wang, A., Zhang, X., Wang, H., & Xing, H. (2022). Recent evidence for toxic effects of NH3 exposure on lung injury: Protective effects of L-selenomethionine. *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety*, 242, 113937. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2022.113937
- Wang, H., Guo, L., Ren, X., Gao, M., Jin, C., Zhao, Y., Ji, J., & She, Z. (2022). Enhanced aerobic granular sludge by static magnetic field to treat saline wastewater via simultaneous partial nitrification and denitrification (SPND) process. *Bioresource Technology*, 350, 126891. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2022.126891
- Wang, K., Zhou, Z., Qiang, J., Yu, S., Wang, X., Yuan, Y., Zhao, X., Qin, Y., & Xiao, K. (2021). Emerging wastewater treatment strategy for efficient nitrogen removal and compact footprint by coupling mainstream nitrogen separation with chemical coagulation and biological aerated filter. *Bioresource Technology*, 320, 124389. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2020.124389
- Wilén, B.-M., Liébana, R., Persson, F., Modin, O., & Hermansson, M. (2018). The mechanisms of granulation of activated sludge in wastewater treatment, its optimization, and impact on effluent quality. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 102(12), 5005–5020. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-018-8990-9
- Wyer, K. E., Kelleghan, D. B., Blanes-Vidal, V., Schauberger, G., & Curran, T. P. (2022). Ammonia emissions from agriculture and their contribution to fine particulate matter: A review of implications for human health. *Journal of Environmental*

Management, 323, 116285. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2022.116285

Zhang, Y., Yin, S., Li, H., Liu, J., Li, S., & Zhang, L. (2022). Treatment of ammonia-nitrogen wastewater by the ultrasonic strengthened break point chlorination method. *Journal of Water Process Engineering*, 45, 102501.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jwpe.2021.102501

- Zou, J., Yang, J., Yu, F., Cai, L., Li, J., & Ganigué, R. (2023). Understanding the role of polyurethane sponges on rapid formation of aerobic granular sludge and enhanced nitrogen removal. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 460, 141670. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2023.141670
- Zueva, S., Ferella, F., Corradini, V., & Vegliò, F. (2024). Review of Organic Waste-to-Energy (OWtE) Technologies as a Part of a Sustainable Circular Economy. *Energies*, 17(15), 3797. https://doi.org/10.3390/en17153797